

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO).	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/683,773 02/13/2002		02/13/2002	Herbert Lyvim Lacey III	56162.000375	9484	
21967	7590	10/06/2004		EXAMINER		
		LIAMS LLP	PUENTE, EMERSON C			
INTELLE 1900 K ST		PROPERTY DEPART .W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SUITE 120	,		2113	2113		
WASHING	GTON, D	C 20006-1109	DATE MAILED: 10/06/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)	$-\alpha$			
				LACEY ET AL.	X			
Office Action Summary		09/683,7						
	omee near cumulary	Examine		Art Unit				
	The MAILING DATE of this commu		C Puente	2113	066			
Period fo		iicauon appears on u	ie cover sneet with the	correspondence addr	533 			
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN usions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com period for reply specified above is less than thirty (period for reply is specified above, the maximum s re to reply within the set or extended period for repl eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e munication. 30) days, a reply within the sta tatutory period will apply and v y will, by statute, cause the ap	vent, however, may a reply be atutory minimum of thirty (30) d will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro plication to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this community NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on 13 February 20	002.					
•	·	2b)⊠ This action is						
'=	Since this application is in condition	, 		rosecution as to the m	nerits is			
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.							
Applicati	on Papers							
9) 🗌 .	The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.						
10) 🔲 .	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any object	ection to the drawing(s)	be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•				
11)[The oath or declaration is objected t	o by the Examiner. N	lote the attached Offic	ce Action or form PTO	-152.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internations the attached detailed Office actions.	documents have bed documents have bed of the priority documents Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Applica ents have been recei ile 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National St	age			
Attachment	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summa					
3) 🔯 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o r No(s)/Mail Date <u>20020513</u> .		Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date Patent Application (PTO-1	52)			

Art Unit: 2113

DETAILED ACTION

This action is made Non-Final. Claims 1-18 have been examined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-2, 5-8, 11-14, 17, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent No. 5,930,807 of Ebrahim et al. referred hereinafter "Ebrahim".

In regards to claim 1, 7, and 13 Ebrahim discloses:

assigning a unique ownership tag to a buffer using component. Ebrahim discloses a root set which is a data structure that includes a pointer to an object (see column 2 lines 9-12 and column 3 lines 52-55). In order for a root set to reference or point to the object or buffer using component, the object must have an identifier or unique ownership tag.

allocating a memory buffer to the buffer using component during task performance.

Ebrahim discloses a root set or memory buffer, which is a data structure that includes a pointer to an object or buffer using component (see column 2 lines 9-12 and column 3 lines 52-55).

tagging the allocated memory buffer with the unique ownership tag as the memory buffer is used for task performance. Ebrahim discloses a root set or memory buffer, which is a data

Art Unit: 2113

structure that includes a pointer or unique ownership tag to an object or buffer using component (see column 2 lines 9-12 and column 3 lines 52-55)

completing task performance by the buffer using component (see column 3 lines 15-20) searching all available memory buffers for the unique memory ownership tag. Ebrahim discloses a technique to recover from memory leaks called garbage collection that searches memory to locate a "root set", or unique memory ownership tag, of object references or pointers used by a mutator task or buffer using component (see column 1 lines 53-57); and

determining whether any memory buffer is assigned the unique ownership tag.

Ebrahim disclose locating a root set (see column 1 lines 53-57).

In regards to claims 2, 8 and 14, Ebrahim discloses:

generating a log of occurrences of the unique memory ownership tag, indicating memory buffers which have not been reallocated to a buffer pool. Ebrahim disclose copying all accessible objects to a contiguous block of memory (see column 3 lines 50-55). A log must be created of roots and associated objects in order to know which objects to copy to a new contiguous block of memory. Objects from blocks of memory that are not copied indicate memory buffers that have not been reallocated to a buffer pool.

In regards to claim 5, 11, and 17 Ebrahim discloses:

assigning a unique ownership tag to a buffer using component. Ebrahim discloses a root set which is a data structure that includes a pointer to an object (see column 2 lines 9-12 and column 3 lines 52-55). In order for a root set to reference or point to the object or buffer using component, the object must have an identifier or unique ownership tag.

Application/Control Number: 09/683,773

Art Unit: 2113

allocating a memory buffer to the buffer using component during task performance.

Ebrahim discloses a root set or memory buffer, which is a data structure that includes a pointer to an object or buffer using component (see column 2 lines 9-12 and column 3 lines 52-55).

tagging the allocated memory buffer with the unique ownership tag as the memory buffer is used for task performance. Ebrahim discloses a root set or memory buffer, which is a data structure that includes a pointer or unique ownership tag to an object or buffer using component (see column 2 lines 9-12 and column 3 lines 52-55)

identifying a system failure. Ebrahim discloses garbage collection that identify inaccessible objects or memory leaks (see column 3 lines 50-65).

searching all available memory buffers for the unique memory ownership tag. Ebrahim discloses a technique to recover from memory leaks called garbage collection that searches memory to locate a "root set", or unique memory ownership tag, of object references or pointers used by a mutator task or buffer using component (see column 1 lines 53-57); and

determining whether any memory buffer is assigned the unique ownership tag.

Ebrahim disclose locating a root set (see column 1 lines 53-57).

In regards to claims 6, 12, and 18, Ebrahim discloses:

generating a log of occurrences of the unique memory ownership tag, indicating memory buffers which have not been reallocated to a buffer pool. Ebrahim disclose copying all accessible objects to a contiguous block of memory (see column 3 lines 50-55). A log must be created of roots and associated objects in order to know which objects to copy to a new contiguous block of memory. Objects from blocks of memory that are not copied indicate memory buffers that have not been reallocated to a buffer pool.

Art Unit: 2113

a , , ,

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3, 4, 9,10, 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ebrahim in view of US Patent No. 4,922,491 of Coale.

In regards to claim 3, 4, 9,10, 15 and 16, Ebrahim disclose

determine that any memory buffer is assigned the unique ownership tag (see column 1 liens 53-57)

However Ebrihim fails to disclose:

automatically notifying a system administrator, wherein the step of automatically notifying a system administrator further comprises periodically notifying the system administrator.

However, Coale discloses generating a report periodically noting potential error events that took place since the last report, indicating automatically notifying a system administrator, wherein the step of automatically notifying a system administrator further comprises periodically notifying the system administrator (see column 2 lines 32-35)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Ebrihim and Coale. A person of ordinary skill in the art

Application/Control Number: 09/683,773 Page 6

Art Unit: 2113

would have been motivated to automatically notifying a system administrator, wherein the step

of automatically notifying a system administrator further comprises periodically notifying the

system, as per teaching of Coale, to determine if the event recorder represent a problem and

whether corrective action is needed (see column 2 lines 35-40).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

See Form PTO-892.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Emerson C Puente whose telephone number is (703) 305-8012.

The examiner will be moving in October 13, 2004. The examiner number at the new site is (571)

272-3652. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Robert W Beausoliel can be reached on (703) 305-9713. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-5631.

Emerson Puente

9/29/04

ROBERT BEAUSOLIEL

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100